

Repeatability of home-based visual field testing using a virtual reality perimeter

Reza Razeghinejad,¹ Sampson L. Abu,^{2,3} L. Jay Katz,¹ Jonathan S. Myers,¹ Lyne Racette²

¹Glaucoma Service, Wills Eye Hospital, Philadelphia, PA; ²Department of Ophthalmology and Visual Sciences, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL; ³Pennsylvania College of Optometry, Salus University, Elkins Park, PA



Purpose

- To evaluate the compliance with the testing and repeatability of VisuALL H head-mounted perimeter (Olleyes Inc. Summit, NJ)

Methods

- This prospective study included 16 patients (32 eyes) with open-angle glaucoma from the glaucoma services of Wills Eye Hospital and from the University of Alabama at Birmingham
- Patients were provided with a VisuALL perimeter (**Figure 1**), received remote training, and were tasked with performing four 24-2 tests in four weeks.
- Compliance with the testing regimen was calculated as the number of tests completed over the total number of tests prescribed (n = 64), expressed in percent.
- The repeatability was assessed by determining the intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC) and Bland-Altman limits of agreements between the mean deviation (MD) values of the first three tests.
- ICC values below 0.40 indicate poor reliability, values between 0.40 and 0.59 indicate fair reliability, values between 0.60 and 0.74 indicate good reliability, and values between 0.75 – 1.0 indicate excellent reliability.

- The demographics of the patients are presented in **Table 1**
- 76% of the patients (13/17) had perfect adherence to the testing regimen. Two patients (12%) took no tests. Of the 68 tests prescribed, 56 were taken (82.4%).
- The results of ICC and Bland-Altman are presented in **Table 2**.

Table 1. Demographics and severity of the visual field of the patients.

	N (%)
Gender (Female)	8 (50)
Race (White)	13 (81)
Visual field severity	N (%) of eyes
Mild	19 (59)
Moderate	8 (25)
Severe	5 (16)
	Mean ± SD
Age (years)	58 ± 10

Figure 1. The components of the virtual reality platform used in this study are shown



Results

Table 2. the inter-class correlation coefficient of the whole visual field and each quadrants mean sensitivity values.

	Test 1	Test 2	Test 3	ICC	95% Confidence interval		P-value
					Lower bound	Upper bound	
MD (dB)	-2.58	-2.36	-2.47	0.92	0.83	0.95	< 0.001
PSD (dB)	6.34	6.22	6.15	0.94	0.89	0.97	< 0.001
Global MS (dB)	28.14	28.27	28.35	0.91	0.81	0.95	< 0.001
Supero-nasal MS (dB)	26.92	26.73	26.68	0.90	0.82	0.95	< 0.001
Supero-temporal MS (dB)	27.67	27.92	28.05	0.85	0.70	0.91	< 0.001
Infero-temporal MS (dB)	29.66	29.78	29.85	0.83	0.68	0.90	< 0.001
Infero-nasal MS (dB)	28.47	28.82	29.01	0.94	0.79	0.94	< 0.001
Central MS (dB)	28.51	28.16	28.79	0.91	0.74	0.92	< 0.001
Peripheral MS (dB)	28.03	28.30	28.22	0.89	0.78	0.93	< 0.001

Conclusions

- Prior studies have reported 69-95% compliance with the home perimetry. Our results are consistent with compliance of 82%.
- The test-retest reliability of the global mean deviation was excellent.
- Home-based monitoring of visual function has potential for remote glaucoma functional testing.

References

- Cicchetti DV. Guidelines, criteria, and rules of thumb for evaluating normed and standardized assessment instruments in psychology. *Psychol Assess.* 1994;6(4):284-290
- Jones PR, Campbell P, Callaghan T, et al. Glaucoma Home Monitoring Using a Tablet-Based Visual Field Test (Eyecatcher): An Assessment of Accuracy and Adherence Over 6 Months. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 2020;
- Prea SM, Kong GYX, Guymer RH, Vingrys AJ. Uptake, Persistence, and Performance of Weekly Home Monitoring of Visual Field in a Large Cohort of Patients With Glaucoma. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 2020;223:286-295. 223:42-52.

Contact: reza@willseye.org